

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

1833	
EXAMINER	
SWARTZ, RODNEY P	
PAPER NUMBER	
PAPER NOMBER	
_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/990,773	HAJIZADEH, KIAMARS		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHY statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	١	
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22october 2004.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,13-18,20-26 and 29-34</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 3, 9-12,19,27-28 is/are objected				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received. ments have been received in App	plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		eceived in this National Stage		
4.6	application from the International B				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	∆\ □	mmony (PTO 442)		
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Mail Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/04</u> .	5B/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	mdemark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/990,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Response to Office Action, received 22October2004, is acknowledged. Claims 1, 14, 28, and 32 have been amended.

2. Claims 1-34 are pending and under consideration.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 3. The rejection of claims 1-24 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for "a pair of antibodies specific to PrP^{sc} is withdrawn in light of the amendment of the claims.
- 4. The rejection of claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, insufficient antecedent basis for "labeled antibody" is withdrawn in light of the amendment of the claim.
- 5. The rejection of claims 25-27 and 29-32 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 13-18, 20-26, and 29-34, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oesch et al (*Arch, Virol.*, <u>16</u>(suppl):189-195, 2000) in view of Sy et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6528269) and Grathwohl et al (*J. Virol. Meth.*, <u>64</u>:205-216, 1997).

The claims are drawn to a method for detecting prion disease in animal carcasses using two different antibodies specific for PrP^{sc} and correlating the result to the carcass.

Oesch et al teach the claimed method of screening for BSE in cattle regularly slaughtered at Swiss abattoirs in order to remove carcasses which test positive for prion by antibody assay. (Summary; **Materials and Methods** section).

However, Oesch et al teach the use of only one antibody specific for PrP^{sc} and do not teach all of the reagents in the dependent claims.

Sy et al teach multiple monoclonal antibodies specific for PrP^{sc} and immunoassays utilizing them (Abstract; col. 8, line 18 to col. 22, line 4).

Grathwohl et al also teach immunoassays using antibody specific for PrP^{sc} as well as the reagents. In addition, Grathwohl et al teach variations on immunoassays in order to optimize tissue handling and prion detection (Abstract; Figure 1; section 2.2; section 2.4; section 3.1-3.3).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of animal meat production to utilize the procedures of Oesch et al during slaughter and to maximize the effectiveness of the procedures of Oesch et al by utilizing multiple antibodies, as taught by Sy et al, and maximum reagent conditions, as taught by Grathwohl et al, in order to facilitate recognition and removal of animal carcasses from production as quickly as possible.

Claim Objections

8. Claims 3, 9-1**2**, 19, 27, and 28 are objected to as being dependent from rejected claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

- 9. No claims are allowed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571)

272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F. Smith, can be reached on (571)272-0864.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 1645

April 30, 2005